UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,204	07/31/2003	James E. Selis	1142-001	2183
	7590 09/11/200 THENNISCH PC	EXAMINER		
29 W LAWREN SUITE 210			TYSON, MELANIE RUANO	
PONTIAC, MI	48342		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/631,204	SELIS, JAMES E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Melanie Tyson	3773		

	Melanie Tyson	3773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods:	the same day as filing a No eplies: (1) an amendment, al (with appeal fee) in com	otice of Appeal. To avoid abar affidavit, or other evidence, w pliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	lvisory Action, or (2) the date ter than SIX MONTHS from the o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WI	ne mailing date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding nortened statutory period for r	amount of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (sv);	see NOTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converge NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· -		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the following rejection on the following rejection of the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection of the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection of the following rejection on the following rejection of the following rejection of			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 61-64,67-74 and 76-79. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 80.] will not be entered, or b		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections unde	er appeal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		·	
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773	/Melanie Tyson/ Examiner, Art Un		

Continuation of 13. Other: The applicant argues that the finality of the office action dated 26 June 2008 is improper since the prior art applied was prior art of record supplied by the applicant on the IDS dated 29 June 2006, thus the amendments did not necessitate a new grounds of rejection. It is the examiner's position that the amendment submitted 17 March 2008 required further search and consideration. Upon further consideration of the prior art, it was determined that the claimed invention is unpatentable over prior art of record Hoyns et al. (6,766,186 B1). Therefore, the finality of the office action dated 26 June 2008 was necessitated by the amendment received on 17 March 2008. Furthermore, the Hoyns reference had not been applied to the claims in a previous rejection, thus one may consider the rejection a "new ground of rejection." The finality of the office action stands. It is noted that the applicant did not point out any errors in the final rejection.